SINGLE BENCH

CP-1001

In the Hon'ble High Court of Chhattisgarh, Bilaspur.

W.P.No....4279...2006

Petitioner - Brijlal Sahu aged 67 years,
S/o Budhram Sahu
G.P.F. Account No. EDN/MP/68351
Retired Head Master,
Chendriban, Nawagaon,
Block and Tahsil Daundilohara,
District Durg (C.G)

P.R.No. 4336/06
Present... Ajay Shrivastava
dated... 8/8/06

Versus

Respondents

1. The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh,
Through – The Secretary,
School Education Department,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Accountant General,
(Lekha and Hakdari)
Nidhi-3, S. C. Shell
Raipur, C.G.
3. Principal,
Govt. Higher Secondary School,
Tatenga, Tahsil Daundi Lohara,
District Durg (C.G.)
4. Block Education Officer,
Dondi Lohara, Distt. Durg (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
8/8/06
Clerk to A. G. BILASPUR

OATH COMMISSIONER
DURG (M.P.)

Writ Petition Under Articles 226/227 of The Constitution Of India for the issuance of WRIT in the nature of MANDAMUS certiorari, Prohibition and for other suitable writ or writs, order or orders, direction or directions.

1. **Particulars of the Petitioner** -

As stated above.

XI-HC-78

(23)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक :WP.ND.4277 **सन् 2006**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

14-8-2006

Heard Shri Ajay Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Satish Gupta, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the respondents No.1, 3 and 4.

Shri S.K. Beriwal, Standing Counsel for respondent No.2.

The main grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner was working as Head Master and on attaining the age of superannuation he demitted the office on 1-8-2002. As per the communication Annexure-P/1 sent by the Principal, Govt. Higher Secondary School, Tatenga to respondent No.2 dated 10-1-2003, an amount of Rs.1,73,816/- was in the account of the petitioner as GPF amount and same was to be paid to the petitioner, but so far, respondent No.2 has not passed final order for payment of GPF amount.

In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 and he be directed to decide the same, to which learned counsel for respondents have no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, and without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation with along with a copy of this petition to respondent No.2 within a period of 10 days from today and in turn, respondent No.2 shall decide the representation and pass orders regarding final payment of GPF after examining the case of the petitioner along with interest payable up to the date of payment, within a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of representation, in accordance with law.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.3319/2006 also stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C.Bhadoo
Judge

Beriwal

P.T.O.

18.8.06 22.8.06 29.8.06 14/11/06